為協助我們制訂《市區重建策略》檢討的
c程，請填妥及交回以下問卷：

1. 市區更新應為社會帶來甚麼效益？在研究市區更新的
   時，應考慮甚麼因素？
   因為我希望大家能夠
   現市區重建成本
   數量，例如：重建舊區或更新
   重建舊區事項。

2. 市區更新目前較依賴於哪些工作？業修、保育和活化
   方面的比重相對較少，是否建議這些不同工作之
   間的比重？哪方面應進行檢討？
   市區重建更新
   重修、保育活化？

3. 應否檢討項目選程程序？選程時應否取決於大多數
   市民的意見？我們應研究哪些範疇，以反應少數意見？
   重建舊區要區議會及市
   建局商討。

4. 現行策略規定市建局長處來說必須財政自給。這種
   以財政自給模式推行市區更新工作有無必要檢討？
   市區重建局會考慮設立市
   建局。

5. 與市建局的關係有無需要檢討？在市區更新方面
   私人機構與政府應當何者角色？
   市區重建局及區議會的
   檢討後會作重建工作。

6. 應否檢討目前重建與保育方面的補償方法？公平的
   補償方法應包含哪些主要元素？
   更新重建會補償方案要求
   合理解決。

7. 其他意見
   我會日後向區議會反映。

姓有名(可選擇留空)：  
聯繫方法(可選擇留空)：(電郵或郵寄地址、電話號碼等)：
請把填妥的問卷及/或其他意見送交：

郵寄方式：發展局
香港中環花園道
美利大廈9樓
(來郵請註明：《市區重建策略》檢討)

電郵方式：enquiry@ursreview.gov.hk
電子郵件：2845 3489

可從www.ursreview.gov.hk網頁下載問卷

如有意參加各項公開參與活動，請在下列方格內填上

☐ 是(以上文所述方式聯繫) ☐ 否

A001
為協助我們制定《市區重建策略》的報告，請填妥及交回以下問卷：

1. 市區重建策略的修訂是否增長項目的可持續性？在研究可持續的
   可能性後，是否會進行實證？

2. 如果政府在設立政策時，沒有考慮到可持續性，是否會在項目
   的建議中加入更多考慮？

3. 政府在可持續性的考慮中，是否考慮到可持續的未來？

4. 政府在可持續性考慮中，是否考慮到可持續的未來？

5. 現時的政策內容是否考慮到可持續性？在政策更新時給予
   重點考慮的內容？

6. 現時的政策是否考慮到社會發展的影響？在社會發展
   完成時，是否會考慮到？

7. 現時的政策內容是否考慮到可持續性？在政策更新時给予
   重點考慮的內容？

8. 現時的政策是否考慮到社會發展的影響？在社會發展
   完成時，是否會考慮到？

9. 現時的政策內容是否考慮到可持續性？在政策更新時给予
   重點考慮的內容？

10. 現時的政策是否考慮到社會發展的影響？在社會發展
    完成時，是否會考慮到？

11. 現時的政策內容是否考慮到可持續性？在政策更新時给予
    重點考慮的內容？

12. 現時的政策是否考慮到社會發展的影響？在社會發展
    完成時，是否會考慮到？

13. 現時的政策內容是否考慮到可持續性？在政策更新時给予
    重點考慮的內容？

14. 現時的政策是否考慮到社會發展的影響？在社會發展
    完成時，是否會考慮到？

15. 現時的政策內容是否考慮到可持續性？在政策更新時给予
    重點考慮的內容？

16. 現時的政策是否考慮到社會發展的影響？在社會發展
    完成時，是否會考慮到？

17. 現時的政策內容是否考慮到可持續性？在政策更新時给予
    重點考慮的內容？

18. 現時的政策是否考慮到社會發展的影響？在社會發展
    完成時，是否會考慮到？

19. 現時的政策內容是否考慮到可持續性？在政策更新時给予
    重點考慮的內容？

20. 現時的政策是否考慮到社會發展的影響？在社會發展
    完成時，是否會考慮到？

21. 現時的政策內容是否考慮到可持續性？在政策更新時给予
    重點考慮的內容？

22. 現時的政策是否考慮到社會發展的影響？在社會發展
    完成時，是否會考慮到？

23. 現時的政策內容是否考慮到可持續性？在政策更新時给予
    重點考慮的內容？

24. 現時的政策是否考慮到社會發展的影響？在社會發展
    完成時，是否會考慮到？

25. 現時的政策內容是否考慮到可持續性？在政策更新時给予
    重點考慮的內容？

26. 現時的政策是否考慮到社會發展的影響？在社會發展
    完成時，是否會考慮到？

27. 現時的政策內容是否考慮到可持續性？在政策更新時给予
    重點考慮的內容？

28. 現時的政策是否考慮到社會發展的影響？在社會發展
    完成時，是否會考慮到？

29. 現時的政策內容是否考慮到可持續性？在政策更新時给予
    重點考慮的內容？

30. 現時的政策是否考慮到社會發展的影響？在社會發展
    完成時，是否會考慮到？
為推動我們制訂《市區重建策略》檢討的
議題，請填寫及交回以下問卷：

1. 市區更新為社區帶來經濟效益？在研究可持續的
發展策略時，應考慮哪些因素？

2. 市區更新目前較依賴拆建工作，復修、保育和活化
方面的比重相對上較少。應考覈及這些不同工作之
間的比重？哪方面應進行檢討？

3. 應否設立項目推進程序？推進時面否取決於大多數
市民的意見？我們可研究哪些範疇，以確保少數意
見？

4. 現行策略規定市建局長假話需貨財政自給。當
以財政自給模式推行市區更新工作有否檢討？

英文名稱

A003
6. 那些建議目前在保育和補償方面的補償方法？公平的
補償方法應包含哪些主要元素？

7. 市區重建的建議是？明市應該如何做？作為未來
發展的一部分，市民是否同意及如何變革新住宅
更耐用及可持續發展。

姓名（可選擇留空）

聯繫方法（可選擇留空）：（電郵或郵寄地址・電話號碼等）

請把填妥的問卷及/或意見交到：

邮寄方式：發展局
香港中環花園道
英利大廈9樓
（來信註明：《市區重建策略》徵集）

電郵方式：enquiry@ursreview.gov.hk

查詢編號：2845 3489

可從www.ursreview.gov.hk 網頁下載問卷

如對此參加按公眾參與活動，請在下列方格內標上

☑ 是 ☐ 否

☑ 填妥以上所有資料方為有效

☑ 否
為協助我們編訂《市區重建策略》，檢討的
認知，請填妥及交回以下問卷：

1. 市區更新趨勢對社會影響程度？在研究可解決的
市區重建策略時，長遠變數應考慮？

2. 市區更新目前若干因素對應工作，根據，政府和私人
方面的比重相對較少，能否增加這些不同工作之
間的比重？哪些方面要進行検討？

3. 基於評估項目進行程序，按過去一些決定因素
基礎上製作邏輯圖表，以概括分析

4. 預期有些情況未必會改善，你認為這些因素不
會對市區更新有任何影響，可以不考慮？

5. 現時市區更新的責任有無需要改變？在市區更新方
面，私人發展與政府應協作及怎麼協作？

6. 預期檢討目標建築業或發展方面的兩項法例？公平
的補償方法是甚麼？

(1) 保持土地使用權對外，熟戴
(2) 促進私人發展利益，期能有發展
(3) 專業意見

7. 請問是否接受

(1) 市區更新

(2) 滿足土地使用
(3) 滿足社會需要

(4) 社區發展

(5) 設計

(6) 設計

(7) 設計

(8) 設計

(9) 設計

(10) 設計

(11) 設計

(12) 設計

(13) 設計

(14) 設計

(15) 設計

(16) 設計

(17) 設計

(18) 設計

(19) 設計

(20) 設計

(21) 設計

(22) 設計

(23) 設計

(24) 設計

(25) 設計

(26) 設計

(27) 設計

(28) 設計

(29) 設計

(30) 設計

(31) 設計

(32) 設計

(33) 設計

(34) 設計

(35) 設計

(36) 設計

(37) 設計

(38) 設計

(39) 設計

(40) 設計

(41) 設計

(42) 設計

(43) 設計

(44) 設計

(45) 設計

(46) 設計

(47) 設計

(48) 設計

(49) 設計

(50) 設計

(51) 設計

(52) 設計

(53) 設計

(54) 設計

(55) 設計

(56) 設計

(57) 設計

(58) 設計

(59) 設計

(60) 設計

(61) 設計

(62) 設計

(63) 設計

(64) 設計

(65) 設計

(66) 設計

(67) 設計

(68) 設計

(69) 設計

(70) 設計

(71) 設計

(72) 設計

(73) 設計

(74) 設計

(75) 設計

(76) 設計

(77) 設計

(78) 設計

(79) 設計

(80) 設計

(81) 設計

(82) 設計

(83) 設計

(84) 設計

(85) 設計

(86) 設計

(87) 設計

(88) 設計

(89) 設計

(90) 設計

(91) 設計

(92) 設計

(93) 設計

(94) 設計

(95) 設計

(96) 設計

(97) 設計

(98) 設計

(99) 設計

(100) 設計

姓名(可選擇匿名)：

聯絡方法(可選擇匿名)：

請標明所關切的問題及或有意見填寫以下：

郵政信箱：

發展局

香港中環政府

資料收集部

(e-mail查詢：enquiry@umsreview.gov.hk)

電郵：2845 1469

可從www.umsreview.gov.hk 訪客與意見徵收

如有員工指導公正等問題，請在下列方格內填上

□ 是(以上訊息所屬方式徵收)
To help us set the agenda for the Review of the Urban Renewal Strategy, please complete the following questionnaire and send it back to us:

1. What community benefits should urban renewal bring about? Which factors should be considered in considering a sustainable urban renewal strategy?

2. Currently, redevelopment plays a significant part in the holistic approach to urban renewal, with lesser emphasis on rehabilitation, preservation and revitalization. Should the weightings among these works be reviewed? And in what aspects?

3. Should the project selection process be reviewed? Should it be decided by the majority view of the community? What areas should we study to address the minority views?

A better balance between efficiency and transparency is required.

4. URA is required to achieve self-financing in the long run. Does the current self-financing model of urban renewal need to be reviewed?

5. Should the role of the URA be reviewed? What roles should the private sector and the Government play in urban renewal?

6. Should the current compensation methods for redevelopment and preservation be reviewed? What are the key elements of fair compensation methods?

7. Other comments

---

Name (optional): [Redacted]

Contact (optional): Email or postal address, telephone

Please send the completed questionnaire and/or comments you may have to:

By Post: Development Bureau
[Address]
By Fax: [Number]
By Email: [Email]

A copy of the questionnaire can be downloaded from www.urareview.gov.hk.

If you wish to participate in various public engagement activities, please indicate below:

[ ] Yes (as contact indicated above)  [ ] No
5. 举例说明角色扮演的重要性（请简述）：

6. 举例说明角色扮演的优点和缺点（请简述）：

7. 其他意见或建议（请简述）：

姓名（可选择填写）：

邮递地址（可选择填写）：

因故未能完成问卷者，请在以下方格内划圈。

□ 是（以上内容是否属于欺骗）

A007
為協助我們制訂《市區重建策略》檢討的議程，請填妥及交回以下問卷：

1. 市區更新應為社區帶來甚麼效益？在研究可持續的市區重建策略時，應考慮甚麼因素？

2. 市區更新目前較依賴重建工作，復修、保育和活化方面的比重相對較少。應否檢討這些不同工作之間的比重？哪方面應進行検討？

3. 應否檢討項目推運程序？推運時應否取決於大多數市民的意見？我們應研究哪些範圍，以回應少數意見？

4. 現行策略規定市建局長官來說必須財政自給。這種以財政自給模式推行市區更新工作有否需要檢討？
5. 現時市區重建的角色有否需要檢討？在市區更新方面，私人機構與政府應擔當甚麼角色？

政府目前市建局給人大權力之感，如近期沒有
蒙委員會全數通過，市民們之意見亦被忽略；市政府可提供數個方案，商
市區更新，或將其發展為居住、商務及商業，应
被

6. 預期檢討目前重建與保育方面的補償方法？公平的
補償方法應包含哪些主要元素？

7. 其他意見

七十年後經此有機會在三條路上的

姓名(可選擇留空)

聯絡方法(可選擇留空)：(電郵或郵寄地址、電話號碼等)：

請把填妥的問卷及/或其他意見送交：

郵寄方式：發展局
香港中環花園道
英利大廈9樓
（來郵請註明：《市區重建策略》檢討）

電郵方式：enquiry@ursrevie.gov.hk

圖文傳真：2845 3489

可從www.ursrevie.gov.hk網頁下載問卷

如有意參加各項公眾參與活動，請在下列方格內填上

☑ 是(以上文所述方式聯絡) □ 否
To help us set the agenda for the Review of the Urban Renewal Strategy, please complete the following questionnaire and send it back to us:

1. What community benefits should urban renewal bring about? Which factors should be considered in considering a sustainable urban renewal strategy?
   - Improved living standard
   - Safety

2. Currently, redevelopment plays a significant part in the holistic approach to urban renewal, with lesser emphasis on rehabilitation, preservation and revitalization. Should the weightings among these works be reviewed? And in what aspects?
   - Yes: preservation of
   - No: buildings

3. Should the project selection process be reviewed? Should it be decided by the majority view of the community? What areas should we study to address the minority views?
   - Do not know the process

4. URA is required to achieve self-financing in the long run. Does the current self-financing model of urban renewal need to be reviewed?
   - Yes: it will affect the decrease of self-financing may be more business-oriented

5. Should the role of the URA be reviewed? What roles should the private sector and the Government play in urban renewal?
   - No comment

   - Private Public Partnership
   - Should be adopted: Government should provide financial support

6. Should the current compensation methods for redevelopment and preservation be reviewed? What are the key elements of fair compensation methods?
   - Yes: we should not encourage the investors to buy back the properties and might not
   - No: modification of the original works

7. Other comments

Name (optional):

Contact (optional): e-mail or postal address, telephone number etc.

Please send the completed questionnaire and any other comments you may have to:

By Post: Development Bureau
(Area: URS Review)
9/F, Murray Building
Garden Road, Central, Hong Kong
By Fax: 2845 3489
By email: enquiry@ursreview.gov.hk

A softcopy of the questionnaire can be downloaded from www.ursreview.gov.hk

If you wish to participate in various public engagement activities, please indicate below:

☐ Yes (at contact indicated above) ☐ No
To help us set the agenda for the Review of the Urban Renewal Strategy, please complete the following questionnaire and send it back to us:

1. What community benefits should urban renewal bring about? Which factors should be considered in considering a sustainable urban renewal strategy? (Please note the original essence of site plans but upgrade to comply with recent requirements, like safety, heritage, etc.)

2. Currently, redevelopment plays a significant part in the holistic approach to urban renewal, with lesser emphasis on rehabilitation, preservation and revitalization. Should the weighings among these works be reviewed? And in what aspects? The need of the area shall be of primary concern.

3. Should the project selection process be reviewed? Should it be decided by the majority view of the community? What areas should we study to address the minority views?

- Project selection process shall be reviewed
- It should be decided by those who have knowledge and vision.
- Majority view can be used as reference.

4. URA is required to achieve self-financing in the long run. Does the current self-financing model of urban renewal need to be reviewed? Self-financing is a good regulation. However, it should not be used as a rule parameter in making decisions in all cases.

5. Should the role of the URA be reviewed? What roles should the private sector and the Government play in urban renewal?

The PPP or PP1 would need in the UK or US can be studied

6. Should the current compensation methods for redevelopment and preservation be reviewed? What are the key elements of fair compensation methods?

No specific comment

7. Other comments

Name (optional): [Redacted]
Contact (optional): e-mail or postal address, telephone [Redacted]

Please send the completed questionnaire and/or other comments you may have to:

By Post : Development Bureau
(Attn.: URS Review)
9/F, Murray Building
Garden Road, Central, Hong Kong
By Fax : 2845 3483
By email : enquiry@ursreview.gov.hk

A soft copy of the questionnaire can be downloaded from www.ursreview.gov.hk

If you wish to participate in various public engagement activities, please indicate below:

Yes (at contact indicated above)
To help us set the agenda for the Review of the Urban Renewal Strategy, please complete the following questionnaire and send it back to us:

1. What community benefits should urban renewal bring about? Which factors should be considered in considering a sustainable urban renewal strategy?

- **Quality of life for residents**
- **Heritage**
- **Quality of life for Hong Kong public at large**
- **Preservation of local character and history**

2. Currently, redevelopment plays a significant part in the holistic approach to urban renewal, with lesser emphasis on rehabilitation, preservation and revitalization. Should the weightings among these works be reviewed? And in what aspects?

   - **Re-development should be the last option after all others have been exhausted**, especially in historical neighbourhoods.

3. Should the project selection process be reviewed? Should it be decided by the majority view of the community? What areas should we study to address the minority views?

   - **The community is not just the people living in the project area, but also in neighboring communities and sometimes in all of Hong Kong**. Their views should be heard.

4. URA is required to achieve self-financing in the long run. Does the current self-financing model of urban renewal need to be reviewed?

   - **This should be on a case-by-case basis. Profit should not be a goal. It is presented to the public as a welfare scheme — Urban Renewal should stay true to that goal even if government has to support it sometimes. Even in the case of redevelopment, profit should not be maximized.**
5. Should the role of the URA be reviewed? What roles should the private sector and the Government play in urban renewal?

- The private sector will take care of renewal when there is commercial interest (e.g., Staunton Street).
- Govt. needs to initiate renewal projects with no private sector interest (e.g., Kwun Tong).

6. Should the current compensation methods for redevelopment and preservation be reviewed? What are the key elements of fair compensation methods?

- Compensation should be fair, based on rehabilitation rather than redevelopment value.

7. Other comments

- Don't destroy our built heritage (in the name of urban renewal).
- Rehabilitation & revitalisation don't equal "revitalisation.

- Urban renewal should be separated from the commercial interests of developers.

Name (optional): ____________________________

Contact (optional): e-mail or postal address, telephone number etc.: ____________________________

Please send the completed questionnaire and/or other comments you may have to:

By Post: Development Bureau
(Attn.: URS Review)
9/F, Murray Building
Garden Road, Central, Hong Kong

By Fax: 2845 3489
By email: enquiry@ursreview.gov.hk

A softcopy of the questionnaire can be downloaded from www.ursreview.gov.hk

If you wish to participate in various public engagement activities, please indicate below:

☐ Yes (at contact indicated above) ☐ No
To help us set the agenda for the Review of the Urban Renewal Strategy, please complete the following questionnaire and send it back to us:

1. What community benefits should urban renewal bring about? Which factors should be considered in considering a sustainable urban renewal strategy?

   Improvement of urban living space, Conservation/revitalization of local culture, promotion of sustainable transport, demonstration of Excellence in architecture & urban design ...

2. Currently, redevelopment plays a significant part in the holistic approach to urban renewal, with lesser emphasis on rehabilitation, preservation and revitalization. Should the weightings among these works be reviewed? And in what aspects?

   Much higher weighting should be placed on upgrading the existing, especially with respect to the aspects of safety, health and energy & environmental performance (low carbon, etc.).

3. Should the project selection process be reviewed? Should it be decided by the majority view of the community? What areas should we study to address the minority views?

   The process should be bottom-up and district-based. Bottom-up means the views from both majority & minority can be heard. The process should be more holistic, including the district level.

4. URA is required to achieve self-financing in the long run. Does the current self-financing model of urban renewal need to be reviewed?

   Yes / No.
5. Should the role of the URA be reviewed? What roles should the private sector and the Government play in urban renewal?
   
   The URA's role should definitely be reviewed. The Govt should invest in urban renewal where existing砝碼 and urban spaces with low infrastructure may be conserved/ revitalized. The private sector, especially small businesses, should be empowered as appropriate.

6. Should the current compensation methods for redevelopment and preservation be reviewed? What are the key elements of fair compensation methods?
   
   To keep the existing community upon renewal, compensation should become not the key issue.

7. Other comments
   
   In parallel, urgent need is in place to review related issues such as density in old urban areas, lack of policy adaptivity for use of older buildings (regulation should become more conducive to adaptive reuse in old buildings).

Name (optional): 

Contact (optional): e-mail or postal address, telephone number etc:

Please send the completed questionnaire and/or other comments you may have to:

By Post: Development Bureau
          (Attn.: URS Review)
          9/F, Murray Building
          Garden Road, Central, Hong Kong

By Fax: 2845 3489
By email: enquiry@ursreview.gov.hk

A softcopy of the questionnaire can be downloaded from www.ursreview.gov.hk

If you wish to participate in various public engagement activities, please indicate below:

□ Yes (at contact indicated above) □ No
To help us set the agenda for the Review of the Urban Renewal Strategy, please complete the following questionnaire and send it back to us:

1. What community benefits should urban renewal bring about? Which factors should be considered in considering a sustainable urban renewal strategy?

- Improvements to public urban spaces:
  - Balanced development densities and urban design opportunities for preservation alongside with optimal redevelopment.
  - Keeping original residents within 'action areas' with improved living conditions.

2. Currently, redevelopment plays a significant part in the holistic approach to urban renewal, with lesser emphasis on rehabilitation, preservation and revitalization. Should the weightings among these works be reviewed? And in what aspects?

- There should be a revamp on the "development-lep" model vs. "conservation-lep" model, with the latter being on high agenda today from many sectors of Hong Kong, local district identities should be preserved.

3. Should the project selection process be reviewed?

- Should it be decided by the majority view of the community? What areas should we study to address the minority views?

- Yes, residents and local stakeholders should be involved from brief formulation to various stages to bring about real "community participation".

4. URA is required to achieve self-financing in the long run. Does the current self-financing model of urban renewal need to be reviewed?

- Yes, self-financing should not be the only prime finance model. Certain conservation projects have strong justifications for alternative arrangements.
5. Should the role of the URA be reviewed? What roles should the private sector and the Government play in urban renewal?

THE GOVERNMENT'S ROLE SHOULD PUT GREATER WEIGHTS ON "OPTIMUM DENSITY" RATHER THAN "MAXIMUM DENSITY" (LATTER IS DEVELOPERS' PRIVATE TARGET). PROMOTING LOCAL IDENTITY AND DISTRICT ASPIRATIONS

6. Should the current compensation methods for redevelopment and preservation be reviewed? What are the key elements of fair compensation methods?

NOT TO MISS OPPORTUNITIES TO ALLOW ORIGINAL RESIDENTS TO STAY WITHIN OR NEARBY "ACTION AREAS".

7. Other comments

SHOULD INCLUDE "OPPORTUNITIES FOR DESIGN EXCELLENCE" INTO URS.

Name (optional):

Contact (optional): e-mail or postal address, telephone number etc:

Please send the completed questionnaire and/or other comments you may have to:

By Post : Development Bureau
(Attn.: URS Review)
9/F, Murray Building
Garden Road, Central, Hong Kong

By Fax : 2845 3489
By email : enquiry@ursreview.gov.hk

A softcopy of the questionnaire can be downloaded from www.ursreview.gov.hk

If you wish to participate in various public engagement activities, please indicate below:

☐ Yes (at contact indicated above) ☐ No
為協助我們制訂《市區重建策略》檢討的議程，請填妥及交回以下問卷：

1. 市區更新應為社區帶來甚麼效益？在研究可持續的市區重建策略時，應考慮甚麼因素？

2. 市區更新目前較依賴重建工作об修復、保育和活化的方面比重相對上較少。應否檢討這些不同工作之間的比重？哪方面應進行檢討？

3. 應否檢討項目揀選程序？揀選時應否考慮大多數市民的意見？我們應研究哪些範疇，以回應少數意見？

4. 現行策略規定市建局署長應選擇財政自給。這種以財政自給模式推行市區更新工作是否需要檢討？

政策不合理。過去各部門自資不足，市民的居住情況並未因設置自資而有所改善。現有的財政自給模式，實在不可行。
5. 現時市建局的角色有否需要檢討？在市區更新方面，私人機構與政府應該扮演甚麼角色？

現時市建局的角色過於被動，所謂市區更新，更多是企業合資單位在私人住宅項目，並非真正文化保育。

政府若要保育，需與商界及大樓業界合作，將市建局的角色由被動變為積極。

6. 應否檢討目前重建與保育方面的補償方法？公平的補償方法應包含哪些主要元素？

若居民不能原址復置，應給予更多補償，否則要他們同意原址重建，連同重建費用，他們可能無法負擔。

7. 其他意見

現時市建局重建速度太慢，文化的保護及保育工作才能有效。

郵寄方式：發展局
香港中環花園道
美利大廈9樓
（來電請註明：《市區重建策略》檢討）

電郵方式：enquiry@ursreview.gov.hk
查詢資料：2845 3489

可從www.ursreview.gov.hk網頁下載問卷
如有意參加各項公眾參與活動，請在下列方格內填上“√”號：

□ 是（以上所選方式聯絡）  □ 否
To help us set the agenda for the Review of the Urban Renewal Strategy, please complete the following questionnaire and send it back to us:

1. What community benefits should urban renewal bring about? Which factors should be considered in considering a sustainable urban renewal strategy?

   Urban renewal should be considered an outdated concept. Urban revitalisation (or regeneration) has principles of urbanism at its heart: Benefits = Social fabric, sustainability, economy.

2. Currently, development plays a significant part in the holistic approach to urban renewal, with lesser emphasis on rehabilitation, preservation and revitalization. Should the weightings among these works be reviewed? And in what aspects?

   Certainly requires re-balancing! First priority is adaptive re-use of existing fabric, preserving urban grain and streetscape livelihood.

3. Should the project selection process be reviewed? Should it be decided by the majority view of the community? What areas should we study to address the minority views?

   The profession of urbanists needs to lead this process. Community input may be welcomed, but decisions need 'big picture' wisdom w/ longevity of goals taking priority.

4. URA is required to achieve self-financing in the long run. Does the current self-financing model of urban renewal need to be reviewed?

   Certainly requires reconsideration! This mandate introduces inevitable conflict of interest in achieving non-profit aims that are Gov't's responsibility. Land resumption powers must not be combined with self-financing (private sector) expectations.
5. Should the role of the URA be reviewed? What roles should the private sector and the Government play in urban renewal?

Yes. Its role is fundamentally backward at present. Promotion of urban quality of life must be its only mandate. This should be led by a coalition of Govt and the design/planning/urbanism professions.

6. Should the current compensation methods for redevelopment and preservation be reviewed? What are the key elements of fair compensation methods?

Emphasis should be placed on resettlement in lieu of compensation. This will support the main goal of social fabric preservation/continuity. Quality of life issues are usually place-specific.

7. Other comments:

One of URA's failures appears to be linked to Govt's failure to produce "linked up" bureaux brain-storming and coordinated problem-solving. Urbanism is and must be, interdisciplinary.

Name (optional): [Redacted]

Contact (optional): e-mail or postal address, telephone number etc.: [Redacted]

Please send the completed questionnaire and/or other comments you may have to:

By Post: Development Bureau (Attn: URS Review)
9/F, Murray Building
Garden Road, Central, Hong Kong

By Fax: 2845 3489
By email: enquiry@ursreview.gov.hk

A softcopy of the questionnaire can be downloaded from www.ursreview.gov.hk

If you wish to participate in various public engagement activities, please indicate below:

☒ Yes (at contact indicated above)  ☐ No
為協助我們制定《市區重建策略》的議程，請填妥及交回以下問卷：

1. 市區更新為社區帶來甚麼效益？在研究可持續的市區重建策略時，應考慮甚麼因素？

   多些市區設施更新，居民
   住屋效益增多。

   順應市民的經濟需求

2. 市區更新目前較依賴重建工作，復修、保育和活化方面的比重相對上較少。應否檢討這些不同工作之間的比重？哪方面應進行檢討？

3. 應否檢討項目複選程序？複選時應否取決於大多數市民意見？我們應研究哪些範圍，以回應少數意見？

4. 現行策略規定市建局長選舉必須財政自給。這樣以財政自給模式推行市區更新工作是否需要檢討？

   有
   有
   有
   有
   有
   有
   有

A022
5. 現時市道局的角色是否需要檢討？在市區更新方面，私人機構與政府起著甚麼角色？


6. 應否檢討目前重建與保育方面的補償方法？公平的補償方法應包含哪些主要元素？


7. 其他意見


姓名(可選擇留空)：


聯絡方法(可選擇留空)：(電郵或郵寄地址、電話號碼等)：
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為協助我們制訂《市區重建策略》檢討的議程，請填妥及交回以下問卷：

1. 市區更新應為社區帶來甚麼效益？在研究可持續的市區重建策略時，應考慮甚麼因素？
   - 在舊區注入新活力的同時，應保留地區個性特色，及推動民生經濟發展。

2. 市區更新方案依據現有工作，復修、保育和活化方面的比重相對上較少。應檢討這些工作之間的比重。哪方面應進行檢討？
   - 一系列活化及復修程度微細建
   - 接地域整體修復好，後期
   - 不一樣，像未來為懷旧
   - 好至歷史價值保護，需
   - 向小範圍的，中景維及
   - 剛初範模型一樣考慮。

3. 建設項目批選程序？通過時應否取決於大多數市民的意見？我們應研究哪些觀點？以回應少數意見？
   - 大多數人的意見不一定是最好，
   - 但政府政策著作不應只
   - 受民間建議及注冊
   - 調整優劣或對 Drinks
   - 被其他人接納。

4. 現行策略規定市局長及議會必須財政自負。這種以財政負擔模式推行市區更新工作有否需要檢討？
   - 由區重建策略到基於港未來
   - 具人權及不可懲罰
   - 社會不值得做這可否
   - 有財政預算的一部份。
6. 您对目前重建与保育方面的补偿方法有何意见？公平的补偿方法应包含哪些主要元素？

7. 其他意见

姓名(可选择留空)：

聯絡方法(可选择留空)：

郵寄方式：發展局
香港中環花園道
領利大廈9樓
(來郵請註明：《市區重建策略》徵求公眾意見書)

電郵方式：enquiry@ursreview.gov.hk

圖文傳真：2843 3489

可從www.ursreview.gov.hk網頁下載問卷

如有意參加各項公眾參與活動，請在下列方格內填上"√"號。

☑ 是(以上所述方式聯絡)     ☐ 否